

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjeet Singh Saini (7380040322)

S/o Sh. Surinder Singh,
#63/41, Street NO.-04, Daba Road,
Man Nagar Punjab

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 766 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 17.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and he is satisfied with the same. He added that he has sent an email comprising an acknowledgement signed by the appellant to the Commission.
3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that an email dated 02.09.2020 has been received by the undersigned bench from the respondent comprising the requisite information along with acknowledgement signed by the appellant dated 02.09.2020 stating that he has received the required information.
5. As the information stands supplied. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Aditi Garg

D/o Sh. Arun Garg,
House no. 40-41, Central Town,
Village Dad, PO-Lalton Kalan,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o DCP, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 800 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Arun Garg on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 19.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Sh. Arun Garg states that requisite information has not been received from the respondent PIO.
3. On this, respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant. He added that contract with the HCL Company was finished on 14.04.2017 and due to not availability of server data; it's not possible to supply the requisite information to the appellant. He further states that reply has already been sent to the appellant on 08.06.2019.
4. **It is observed that discussion was going on in this case but representative of the appellant, Sh. Arun Garg left the meeting in between, which shows no regard to the Commission.** It is also observed that an email dated 28.08.2020 was received from the respondent comprising two letters (letter no. AC800/2020 dated 27.08.2020 addressed to the Commission and letter no. 124/FA dated 08.06.2019 addressed to the appellant which is as follows:

ਅਪੀਲ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ-ਕਮ,
ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ,
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ।

ਵੱਲ : ਅਦਿਤੀ ਗਰਗ ਪੁੱਤਰੀ ਅਰੁਣ ਗਰਗ,
ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰ:40-41, ਸੈਂਟਰਲ ਟਾਊਨ, ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾਦ,
ਡਾਕ. ਲਲਤੋਂ ਕਲਾਂ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ।

ਨੰਬਰ : 124-FA/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ : ਮਿਤੀ : 28-08-2020

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ : ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ 2005 ਤਹਿਤ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਨ ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਹਵਾਲਾ : ਆਪ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਿਤੀ 20-05-2019 ਜਿਸਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦਾ ਡਾਇਰੀ ਨੰਬਰ 124/ਐਫ.ਏ/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.ਮਿਤੀ 24-05-2019 ਲੱਗਾ ਹੈ, ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ।

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਦਾ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 01-04-19 ਜਿਸਨੂੰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਦਾ ਡਾਇਰੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1152/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 01-04-19 ਲੱਗਾ ਹੈ, ਵਿੱਚ ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਨੰਬਰ 99144-61965 ਤੇ ਪੀ.ਸੀ.ਆਰ ਨੰਬਰ 100 ਪਰ ਮਿਤੀ 14-01-17ਸਮਾ 10.30ਪੀ.ਐਮ ਪਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਕਾਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਬਾਰੇ ਇੰਚਾਰਜਪੁਲਿਸ ਕੰਟਰੋਲ ਰੂਮ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸੇ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਹਾਸਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 1152/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 02-05-19 ਨਾਲ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਇੰਚਾਰਜ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਕੰਟਰੋਲ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਮੁਤਾਬਕ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਿਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਦੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਟੈਲੀਫੋਨ 100 ਨੰਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਐਚ.ਸੀ.ਐਲ ਕੰਪਨੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਚਲਾਇਆ ਜਾਦਾ ਸੀ। ਜੋ ਕਿ ਐਚ.ਸੀ.ਐਲ ਕੰਪਨੀ ਦਾ ਠੇਕਾ ਮਿਤੀ 14-04-17 ਨੂੰ ਖਤਮ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਰਵਰ ਬੰਦ ਹੋ ਗਿਆ ਜੋ ਸਾਰਾ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਸਰਵਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੈ। ਸਰਵਰ ਬੰਦ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ। ਭੇਜੇ ਗਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।

ਹੁਣ ਆਪ ਦੀ ਉਕਤ ਅਪੀਲ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹੋਣ ਤੇ ਪਤਾ ਚੱਲਿਆ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਭੇਜੇ ਗਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਦੁਬਾਰਾ ਲਫ ਕਰਕੇ ਭੇਜੀਆ ਜਾਦੀਆ ਹਨ। ਆਪ ਦੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਖਲ ਦਫਤਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਨੱਥੀ ਪੇਜ:-

ਅਪੀਲ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ-ਕਮ,
ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ,
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ।

5. I am of the considered view that supplied reply is sufficient and satisfactory. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Aditi Garg

D/o Sh. Arun Garg,
House no. 40-41, Central Town,
Village Dad, PO-Lalton Kalan,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o DCP, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 801 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 19.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant dated 24.05.2019 by hand. He added that he has sent an email in this regard to the Commission comprising a page of register where Sh. Arun Garg signed dated 24.05.2019 after receiving the information.
3. Appellant/representative of the appellant is not present to represent this case as representative of the appellant, Sh. Arun Garg left the meeting in between during the hearing of an Appeal case No. 800 of 2020, which shows no regard to the Commission.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that an email dated 28.08.2020 has been received from the respondent comprising a letter no. AC:801/2020 dated 27.08.2020 signed by PIO-cum-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana stating that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. In that email a copy of a page of register showing that Sh. Arun Garg signature along with date 24.05.2019. This email is taken on record.
5. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that information has already been supplied and appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the supplied information. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Nitin Kumar Tayal

Secretary the Gats Urban Co-operative
Thrift and Credit Society Limited
SCO 1-3 B, Swami Vivekanand Vridhasharam Market,
B Block, Model Town Extension, Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Commissionerate of Police,
Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissionerate of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1052 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Er. Nitin Kumar Tayal, appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 09.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that information relates with CR-13494183 has already been supplied to the appellant. He added that CR-1442313 is not correct so the correct number was demanded from the appellant and information relates with CR-1517105 could not be supplied as it is under investigation. He further states that he has sent an email in this regard to the Commission.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Nitin Kumar Tayal states that he is satisfied with the supplied information relates with CR-13494183 and CR- CR-1442312 (as it was wrongly mentioned in RTI application CR-1442313). He added he is also satisfied with the supplied reply in connection with CR-1517105 and he has no objection to close this case.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that notice of hearing which was issued to the appellant by the Commission on the name of Er. Nalin Kumar Tayal instead of Er. Nitin Kumar Tayal inadvertently, which may be read as Er. Nitin Kumar Tayal in the notice of hearing.
5. As appellant, Er. Nitin Kumar Tayal is satisfied with the supplied information; therefore no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh

Dated: 02.09.2020

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh. Trilochan singh (9463658200)

S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh,
R/o 848-A, MIG Jamalpur,
Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1673 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Trilochan Singh the appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Trilochan Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. Respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that reply was sent to the appellant dated 06.07.2020 stating that appellant could ask particular required information.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, appellant is not specifying the required information so it could not be supplied to the appellant and supplied reply is sufficient. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh
Dated: 02.09.2020**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Trilochan singh (9463658200)

S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh,
R/o 848-A, MIG Jamalpur,
Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Division no. 8, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1676 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Trilochan Singh the appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000) along with ASi Ram Krishan

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Trilochan Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, ASI, Ram Krishan states that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant due to secrecy and reply was sent to the appellant in this regard.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied reply is sufficient. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner